UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JOSE ANDINO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SEABRA SUPERMARKETS, INC., aka
A & J SEABRA SUPERMARKET, INC.,
aka SEABRA FOODS, LLC, JOHN DOE
CORPORATIONS 1 THROUGH 10, AND
OTHER JOHN DOE ENTITIES 1
THROUGH 10, all whose true names are
unknown, JOSE SEABRA, ANTONIO
SEABRA, and ALBANO SEABRA
Individually, AND JOHN AND JANE
DOES 1 THROUGH 10, individually, all of
whose true names are unknown,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff JOSE ANDINO ("Plaintiff" or "Andino"), by and through his attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, brings this Collective Action Complaint against Defendants SEABRA SUPERMARKETS, INC., aka A & J SEABRA SUPERMARKET, INC., aka SEABRA FOODS, LLC, JOHN DOE CORPORATIONS 1 THROUGH 10, and OTHER JOHN DOE ENTITIES 1 THROUGH 10, (collectively "Seabra"), JOSE SEABRA ("Jose"), ANTONIO SEABRA ("Antonio"), and ALBANO SEABRA ("Albano") individually, and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1 THROUGH 10, individually (collectively, "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit seeking recovery against Defendants for Defendants' violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (the "FLSA" or the "Act"), 29 U.S.C.§201 et. seq. and the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a et seq. ("NJWHL").
- 2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit against Defendants as a collective action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated non-grocery store employees who suffered damages for damages as a result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA pursuant to the collective action provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's NJWHL claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367.
- 5. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- **6.** At all times material hereto, Plaintiff performed non-exempt butcher duties for the Defendants in Newark, Essex County, New Jersey. Defendants are therefore within the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.
- 7. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the Defendants, Seabra, were and are an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of interstate goods for commerce as defined by the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). Defendants operate a retail grocery store, buying and selling products that move through interstate commerce. Alternatively, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked in interstate commerce, i.e., using the tools and products, which moved through interstate channels so as to produce an end product

for Defendants' consumers. Thus, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees fall within the protections of the Act.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff Andino is an adult individual who is a resident of Newark, Essex County, New Jersey.
- 9. Plaintiff Andino was employed by Defendants Seabra full time as a non-exempt laborer, from in or about April, 2016, through in or about January, 2018.
- 10. Upon information and belief, the Defendants John Doe Corporations 1 through 10 ('the "Corporate Defendants") are corporations, the names and addresses of residences of which are unknown.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants John Doe Entities 1 through 10 (the "Other Entity Defendants") are other legal entities, the names and addresses of residences of which are unknown.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the Defendants own and/or maintain an ethnic retail grocery chain specializing in products from Latin America and other Hispanic countries, with locations in New Jersey, Florida, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island.
- 13. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are headquartered in Newark, Essex County, New Jersey.
- 14. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants employ individuals to perform labor services on behalf of the Defendants.
- 15. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00.

- 16. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants are and were an employer engaged in commerce under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jose Seabra, is a New Jersey state resident.
- 18. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual

 Defendant Jose has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendants Seabra.
- 19. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual

 Defendant Jose has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business,
 including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and
 the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and
 otherwise control the terms of their employment.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Albano Seabra, is a New Jersey state resident.
- 21. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Albano has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendants Seabra.
- 22. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual Defendant Albano has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business, including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the terms of their employment.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant Antonio Seabra, is a New Jersey state resident.
- 24. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual

 Defendant Antonio has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendants

 Seabra.

- 25. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual

 Defendant Antonio has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business,
 including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and
 the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and
 otherwise control the terms of their employment.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants John and Jane Does 1 through 10 (the "Individual Defendants") are individuals whose name and addresses of residences of which are unknown.
- 27. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants John and Jane Does 1 through 10, have been an owner(s), partner(s), officer(s) and/or manager(s) of the Defendants Seabra.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendants John and Jane Does 1 through 10, have had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business, including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and otherwise control the term.

FACTS

- 29. Based upon the information preliminarily available, and subject to discovery in this cause, from in or about April, 2017, through in or about January, 2018, Defendants did not properly compensate Plaintiff for all overtime hours he worked in a work week.
- 30. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Andino was paid approximately \$11.00 per hour.
- 31. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Andino routinely worked seven (7) days per week.
- 32. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Andino regularly worked approximately fifty three (53) hours per week.

- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants paid Plaintiff straight time for all overtime hours worked in each work week.
- 34. Upon information and belief, employees similarly situated to Plaintiff were also improperly compensated, similarly to Plaintiff.
- 35. Defendants have engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA and NJWHL, as described in this Complaint.
- 36. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees, were performing their duties for the benefit of and on behalf of Defendants.
- 37. This cause of action is brought to recover from Defendants, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §216(b), as well as applicable provisions of NJWHL, on behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former employees similarly situated during the material time.
- 38. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.
- 39. The records, if any, concerning the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.
- 40. At all times pertinent to this complaint, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, as well as applicable provisions of the NJWHL, in that Plaintiff performed services and labor for Defendants for which Defendants made no provision to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees compensation to which they were lawfully entitled for all of their hours worked and for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.

- 41. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are Defendants' non-exempt employees who were not properly compensated for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more work periods, on or after March 20, 2015.
- 42. Plaintiff has retained the law office of Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A. to represent him individually and incurred attorneys' fees and costs in bringing this action. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION PURSUANT TO THE FLSA

- 43. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 42 above.
- 44. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid additional compensation for each overtime hour worked per work week.
- 45. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff at time and one half of his regular rate of pay for his overtime hours worked in a work week.
- 46. All similarly situated employees of the Defendants are also owed overtime pay for each and every overtime hour they worked and were not properly paid.
- 47. By reason of the said intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 48. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees are entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II RECOVERY OF OVERTIME WAGES PURSUANT TO THE NJWHL

49. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in

Paragraphs 1 through 48 above.

50. Defendants' aforementioned conduct is in violation of the NJWHL.

51. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and those similarly situated

employees suffered damages, including but not limited to past lost earnings.

JURY TRIAL

52. Plaintiff and similarly situated employees demand a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOSE ANDINO, and those similarly situated

employees, demand judgment, against Defendants SEABRA SUPERMARKETS, INC.,

aka A & J SEABRA SUPERMARKET, INC., aka SEABRA FOODS, LLC, JOHN DOE

CORPORATIONS 1 THROUGH 10, and OTHER JOHN DOE ENTITIES 1 THROUGH

10, all whose true names are unknown, JOSE SEABRA, ANTONIO SEABRA, and

ALBANO SEABRA, individually, and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1 THROUGH 10,

individually, all of whose true names are unknown, for the payment of compensation for

all hours due them and overtime hours due them, for the lunch hours worked by them, for

which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable

attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other appropriate relief.

Dated: March 26, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s Andrew I. Glenn

Andrew I. Glenn

E-mail: AGlenn@JaffeGlenn.com

New Jersey Bar No.: 026491992

8

Jodi J. Jaffe

E-mail: JJaffe@JaffeGlenn.com New Jersey Bar No.: 022351993 JAFFE GLENN LAW GROUP, P.A. 301 N. Harrison Street, Ste9F,#306 Princeton New Jersey 08540

Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Telephone: (201) 687-9977 Facsimile: (201) 595-0308 Attorneys for Plaintiff